CF 1002

SINGLE BENCH (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 5750 /2006.

PETITIONER

Dr. Ajay Pandey son of Shri R.C. Pandey aged about 41 years resident of Govt. Cattle Breeding Farm Chandkhuri, Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5783/06
Presented by Counsel Sameer Behar
dated 16/10/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh Through it's Secretary Department of Agriculture (Animal Husbandry) D.K.S. Bhavan Mantralaya Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Director Directorate of Veterinary Services Raipur (C.G.).

3. Dr. Narottam Chandrakar Artificial Insemination Centre Mandir Hasoud Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR
16-10-06

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
12/10/06

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER AND DIRECTION Etc.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P.(S.) No. 5750/06

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

(18)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	27-10-2006 Mr. Sameer Behar, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Sumeet Verma, Panel Lawyer for respondents No.1 and 2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard finally and disposed of. Order dictated in open Court, passed separately. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Gopal

P.T.O.

14.11.06

19

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.5750 OF 2006

Petitioner	:	Dr. Ajay Pandey
	versus	
Respondents	:	The State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Sameer Behar, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Sumeet Verma, Panel Lawyer for respondents No.1 and 2.

ORDER
(Passed on 27th of October, 2006)

The petitioner was working as Assistant Surgeon in Government Cattle Breeding Farm, Chandkhuri, District Raipur. The Director, Veterinary Services, Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur, by order dated 9-10-2006 (Annexure P-1), allocated the work to the petitioner to work as Manager, the Cattle Breeding Farm, Mandir Hasaud, District Raipur and respondent No.3 was allocated the work in the Cattle Breeding Farm, Chandkhuri, within the same district. The transfer order mentions the allocation of work order.

2. The petitioner has impugned the order dated 9-10-2006 (Annexure P-1) on the ground that respondent No.2 has no power to

distribute the work among the Assistant Veterinary Surgeons within the same district. The petitioner has not produced any document except a mere statement in the pleading that the Director, Veterinary Services has no competence to pass such order for allocation of work.

3. The petitioner relies on earlier order dated 13-2-2003 (Annexure P-2), whereby the petitioner was transferred from District Kanker to District Raipur by the Principal Secretary to the Government, Agriculture (Veterinary) Department. It appears that inter-district transfer is made by the Government and work allocation is done by the Director. The petitioner has not produced any document or any material to substantiate his argument.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and on perusal of the papers, it is evident that the order is just and proper and it is a simple work allocation order not a transfer order from one place to other place. There is no other ground to challenge the work allocation order.

5. Thus, this petition is dismissed being devoid of merit. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal